



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,881	01/09/2002	Peter Litschko	F-7212	5529	
28107	7590 10/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP			RAMANA, ANURADHA		
122 EAST 42N SUITE 4000	ND STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10168		3732		
			DATE MAILED, 10/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/009,881	LITSCHKO ET AL.	1			
		Examiner	Art Unit :				
		Anu Ramana	3732				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		ith the correspondence addres	is			
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be adequated term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/	<u>6/04</u> .					
2a)	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.						
3) 🗌	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4 and 7-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) 1,2.4 and 7-13 is/are rejected.						
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or alaction requirement					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>11/13/2001</u> is/are: a						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t			404(1)			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
/	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		n received in this National Sta	ge			
	application from the International Bur						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
	. (4)-		•				
Attachmen	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			
C. Data da and 3							

Application/Control Number: 10/009,881

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application. See Draftsperson's Drawing Review attached to the office action mailed on June 24, 2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-2, 4 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not describe how the virtual three-dimensional model is generated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As best understood, claims 1-2, 4 and 7-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by D'Urso (US 5,741,215).

D'Urso discloses a method of manufacturing a cranioplastic prosthesis or implant including the steps of generating a virtual 3-D model from two-dimensional coordinate sets to construct a three-dimensional coordinate set for a cranio-plastic implant 3 to be accommodated in a defect area 2 (Figs. 1, 2 and 7, col. 3, lines 55-63, col. 4, lines 5-67, col. 6, lines 29-67, col. 7, lines 1-67 and col. 8, lines 1-2).

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/009,881

Art Unit: 3732

D'Urso further discloses manipulation of the two-dimensional data to obtain the best or enhanced fit of "reference model object" or implant 3 in defect region 2 by superimposition and manufacture of the implant by stereolithographic modeling or "computer numeric control" based on data from the virtual implant model (col. 4, lines 57-59, col. 7, lines 35-67 and col. 8, lines 1-3).

The claimed method steps are inherently performed during manufacture of the D'Urso cranioplastic implant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on July 6, 2004 with respect to claims 1-2, 4 and 7-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (703) 306-4035. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Shaver can be reached at (703) 308-2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR Anuadla Ramana October 18, 2004